NAR 2 5 2015 PASTENT

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CSHL.005.01US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Roberto Malinow et al.)
11.10 upp.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.1) Examiner:
Serial No.: 09/353,126)
) Art Unit: 1647
Filed: July 14, 1999)
•) PETITION FOR FILING DATE
For: DIAGNOSTIC METHODS FOR DRUG) <u>UNDER 37 CFR 1.53(b)</u>
SCREENING FOR ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE)

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicants' attorney petitions the Honorable Commissioner to confirm the filing date accorded the subject application.

A filing date was accorded the subject application. This application is a continuation of an application in which it was not clear that drawings had been filed as the cover sheet and postcard did not indicate that drawings were included, but the application did refer to 5 figures. Since the situation is not free from doubt, applicants' attorney cancelled all reference to the figures in the parent application. The subject application was inadvertently filed with four figures. This error has only now been brought to Applicants' attention by way of a Notice of Drawing Inconsistency.

Accompanying this response is an amendment canceling the references to the drawings. Since the drawings are unnecessary for a complete description of the invention, the filing date accorded the subject application should be confirmed.

CERTIFICATE OF FIRST CLASS MAILING

03/28/2005 MBIZUNE2 00000009 09353126 01 FC:1464 130.00 OP I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

Signature: Barbara Rae-Vertes

Barbara Rae-Venter, Ph.D. March 21, 2005

Page 2

The claimed subject invention is a method for screening drugs for treatment of

Alzheimer's disease. The methodology employed is based on published procedures. The figures

are graphs whose data are extensively set forth in the specification in the description of the

figures. The data set forth are adequate for demonstrating the results and providing a comparison

for the results obtained to results which might be obtained in the future. In addition, there is

verbal description of the methodology, in addition to the literature directions, direction one

would expect for the results for an effective drug, as well as standards to be used. See

particularly, page 8, line1 to page 9, line 29.

The drawings are unnecessary for an understanding of the methodology, the nature of the

cells used and the anticipated results for effectiveness of a drug. The drawings graph the results

reported in the literature and merely provided a convenient assembly of the data.

In view of the above remarks, the Honorable Commissioner is respectfully requested to

confirm the filing date accorded the subject application and direct issuance of this application as

it has already been allowed and the issue fee paid. The appropriate fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h)

accompanies this petition.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated:

Barbara Rae-Venter, Ph.D.

Reg. No. 32,750

Rae-Venter Law Group, P.C.

PO Box 1898

Monterey, CA 93942-1898

Phone: (831) 648-3090

Facsimile: (831) 242-0137

BRV